IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.K.DENESAN

FRIDAY, THE 1ST DECEMBER 2006 / 10TH AGRAHAYANA 1928

WP(C).No. 31960 of 2006(D)

PETITIONER:

V.N. SUKUMARAN NAIR,
S/O. NEELAKANDAN NAIR, ELAMPURAYIDATHIL,
THEKKETHUKAVALA P.O., PONKUNNAM, KOTTAYAM.
(OVERSEAR, KSEB (RETD.).

BY ADV. SRI.M.SASINDRAN
SRI.T.R.JAGADEESH

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. THE KERALA STATE ELECTRICITY BOARD,
VYDHYUTHI BHAVAN, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM,
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY.

2. THE CHAIRMAN,
K.S.E.B., VYDHYUTHI BHAVAN, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

3. THE CHIEF ENGINEER (HRM),
KERALA STATE ELECTRICITY BOARD,THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

BY SC SRI.K.S.ANIL KSEB

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 01/12/2006, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

K.K.DENESAN, J

W.P.(C)NO. 31960 of 2006

Dated this the 1st day of December, 2006

JUDGMENT

The petitioner has retired from the service of the respondent Board while working as Overseer. According to him, while computing the qualifying service, the Board has not taken into consideration his CLR service. Ext.P8 representation has been filed by him before the second respondent for granting him the benefit of CLR service.

2. I have heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the Legal Laision Officer for the respondents.

3. In the circumstances, there shall be an order directing the second respondent to consider Ext.P8, take appropriate decision and communicate the same to the petitioner within two months on the petitioner producing a copy of the judgment.

K.K.DENESAN, JUDGE

css/